

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/825,776	04/05/2001	John Erik Hershey	RD-24,495 1295		
41838 7	590 05/10/2005		EXAMINER		
	LECTRIC COMPANY (	LUGO, DAVID B			
C/O FLETCHE P. O. BOX 692	+	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
HOUSTON, TX 77269-2289			2637		
			DATE MAILED: 05/10/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/825,776	6	HERSHEY ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		David B. Lu	ıgo	2637			
The Period for Rep	MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	5		
THE MAILIN  - Extensions of after SIX (6) N  - If the period fc  - If NO period fc  - Failure to reply Any reply received.	NED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR IONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. or reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per y within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta- sived by the Office later than three months after the ma- term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no ever reply within the statut ind will apply and will atute, cause the application.	nt, however, may a reply be tim ory minimum of thirty (30) day: expire SIX (6) MONTHS from action to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commun D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.		
Status							
1)⊠ Respo	onsive to communication(s) filed on 22	2 November 20	04.				
,— ,		his action is no					
3) Since	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of	Claims	•					
4a) Of 5)⊠ Claim 6)⊠ Claim 7)□ Claim	(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application the above claim(s) is/are with the above claim(s) is/are with the above claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are allowed.  (s) <u>8-20</u> is/are rejected.  (s) is/are objected to.  (s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from con			·		
Application Pa	pers						
9)∐ The sp	pecification is objected to by the Exam	iner.					
10)∏ The dr	awing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	accepted or b)[	$\square$ objected to by the $\mathfrak k$	Examiner.			
Applic	ant may not request that any objection to t	the drawing(s) be	e held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	cement drawing sheet(s) including the corr ath or declaration is objected to by the						
Priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)	wledgment is made of a claim for fore b) Some * c) None of:  Certified copies of the priority docume Certified copies of the priority docume Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bure attached detailed Office action for a least or some content of the papplication from the International Bure attached detailed Office action for a least or some content of the papplication from the International Bure attached detailed Office action for a least or some content of the papplication for a least or some content of the papplication for a least or some content of the papplication for a least or some content of the papplication for a least or some content of the papplication for a least or some content of the papplication for a least or some content of the papplication for a least or some content of the papplication for a least or some content of the papplication for a least or some content of the papplication for a least or some content or some conten	ents have been ents have been priority documen reau (PCT Rule	received. received in Applicati nts have been receive 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stag	e		
Attachment(s)			<b></b>				
	erences Cited (PTO-892) ftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		<ol> <li>Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da</li> </ol>				
3) 🔲 Information D	hisperson's Patent Drawing Review (F10-946) hisclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ Mail Date	(08)		ratent Application (PTO-152)	1		

Art Unit: 2637

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments filed 11/22/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Regarding claim 8, Applicant argues that Scott '980 does not teach or disclose a colored noise-like preamble. In response, the preamble signal transmitted and received in the system of Scott is broadly considered to be a colored noise-like preamble, as the preamble may appear noise-like when transmitted, and no signal has a completely white power spectral density.
- 2. Further, it is noted that the claim is an apparatus claim drawn to a system for short range communications comprising a transmitter for performing the function of transmitting a colored noise-like preamble. In accordance with MPEP § 2114, an "apparatus must be distinguished from the prior art in terms of structure rather than function." In order to anticipate the claim, the reference must disclose the claimed structure, which is recited as a transmitter, a receiver, and a signal processor. The Scott '980 reference discloses an apparatus comprising the claimed structure, as described in the previous Office action. Further, the functions of the transmitter and receiver of Scott include transmitting and receiving preamble signals, respectively. Thus, the transmitter and receiver of Scott are considered to be able to transmit and receive colored noise-like preamble signals, as a colored noise-like preamble signal is comprised of a sequence of binary information which is modulated on a carrier, and Scott discloses the capability to transmit a preamble signal which includes a sequence of binary information modulated on a carrier.

  Accordingly, Scott '989 is considered to anticipate claim 8.
- 3. Regarding claim 14, Applicant argues that Scott '373 does not teach or disclose a colored noise-like preamble. For reasons similar to those stated above, the preamble transmitted in the

Art Unit: 2637

system of Scott '373 is broadly considered a colored noise-like preamble. In addition, the apparatus is considered to be capable of transmitting and receiving colored noise-like preambles.

- 4. Further regarding claim 14, Applicant argues that Scott '373 does not disclose a signal processor for combining at least two antenna output signals. Applicant contends that the cited portion of the Scott '373 reference does not correspond with the claimed subject matter. However, although indicating that a recited portion of the reference in their remarks is from column 48, Applicant has instead mistakenly recited a passage from column 46. It is believed that the passage actually cited in the previous Office action from column 48, lines 55-60 adequately describes that "combining diversity may be used, wherein the receiver selects one or more antenna paths for use by the demodulator." Accordingly, Applicant's arguments presented with respect to selection diversity are moot.
- 5. The rejections of claims 8-18 are maintained, and are restated below. Newly added claims 19 and 20 are also addressed below.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 7. Claims 8, 11-13 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Scott U.S. Patent 5,959,980.

Art Unit: 2637

8. Regarding claim 8, Scott discloses a communication system where a transmitter 907 (Fig. 9) transmits a preamble 579 (Fig. 5C) and is considered capable of transmitting a colored noise-like preamble, a receiver 809 (Fig. 8A) for receiving the preamble, and including an antenna 808, where there is an adjustment interval that permits adjustments to a directional antenna (col. 22, lines 57-63), and the receiver measures the strength of the preamble (col. 29, lines 17-20).

- 9. Regarding claim 11, the transmitter and receiver are considered capable of transmitting and receiving a colored noise-like preamble composed of interleaved sequences of samples of colored noise.
- 10. Regarding claims 12 and 13, Scott discloses use of the communication system in air interfaces including the ISM band (col. 48, lines 48-55), and thus, the transmitter and receiver are considered an ISM transmitter and ISM receiver, respectively.
- 11. Regarding claim 19, Scott discloses a communication system where a transmitter 907 (Fig. 9) transmits a preamble 579 (Fig. 5C), broadly considered a colored noise-like preamble, the transmitter capable of transmitting a colored noise-like preamble, a receiver 809 (Fig. 8A) for receiving the preamble, and including an antenna 808, where the receiver determines, in response to the strength of the preamble, the direction or distance of a user station (col. 29, lines 12-20), and based on the determined direction or distance made in response to the strength of the preamble, the receiver may adjust its antenna to direct it towards the user station during an antenna adjustment interval (col. 29, lines 21-24).
- 12. Claims 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Scott U.S. Patent 6,141,373.

Art Unit: 2637

13. Regarding claim 14, Scott teaches a communications system (Fig. 1) where a transmitter sends a preamble 901 (Fig. 9) to a receiver, the transmitter considered capable of transmitting a colored noise-like preamble, and the receiver receives the preamble and is disclosed as performing diversity combining, where a function of the transmitted preamble code is used to allow multiple antenna paths for use by a demodulator (col. 48, lines 55-60).

14. Regarding claims 15 and 16, the diversity combining is considered to be used to mitigate interfering signals and enhance reception of the preamble.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 15. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 16. Claims 9 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Scott U.S. Patent 5,959,980 in view of Keen U.S. Patent 4,388,723.
- 17. Regarding claim 9, Scott discloses a communication system as described above, but does not disclose that the antenna pattern comprises a spatial null adapted to be oriented electronically.
- 18. Keen disclose the use an antenna pattern that produces a spatial null, which may be determined electrically (col. 2, lines 58-64).
- 19. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to employ an antenna pattern that produce a spatial null in the system of Scott as such antennas produce a very large rejection of unwanted signals (col. 2, lines 61-62).

Application/Control Number: 09/825,776

Art Unit: 2637

- 20. Regarding claim 20, Scott discloses a communication system as described above, where an antenna is adjusted based on preamble strength, but does not expressly disclose that the antenna pattern comprises a spatial null adapted to be oriented electronically.
- 21. Keen disclose the use an antenna pattern that produces a spatial null, which may be determined electrically (col. 2, lines 58-64).
- 22. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to employ an antenna pattern that produce a spatial null in the system of Scott as such antennas produce a very large rejection of unwanted signals (col. 2, lines 61-62).
- 23. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Scott U.S. Patent 5,959,980 in view of Bunch et al. U.S. Patent 4,121,216.
- 24. Regarding claim 10, Scott discloses a communication system as described above, but does not disclose that the processor is adapted to implement an arc sine law.
- 25. Bunch et al. disclose an "ARC SIN" converter that produces a true bearing angle signal (col. 8, lines 13-15).
- 26. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to adapt the processor to implement an arc sine law in order to produce a true bearing angle signal for aid in adjusting the direction of the antenna.
- 27. Claims 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Scott U.S. Patent 6,141,373 in view of Scott U.S. Patent 5,959,980.
- 28. Regarding claims 17 and 18, Scott '373 discloses a communication system as disclosed above, but does not expressly disclose use of the system in an ISM system.

Application/Control Number: 09/825,776

Art Unit: 2637

29. Scott '980 discloses use of a communication system in air interfaces including the ISM band (col. 48, lines 48-55), where the transmitters and receivers are considered ISM transmitters and ISM receivers, respectively.

30. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the system of Scott '373 in air interfaces including the ISM band since this band is unregulated and therefore avoids the costly need to acquire and license spectrum.

### Allowable Subject Matter

- 31. Claims 1-7 are allowed.
- 32. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The prior art of record fails to teach a transmission unit comprising a noise source for generating a noise signal, a signal generator connected to the noise source for generating a preamble from the noise signal, a switching device having a first input connected to a modulator that modulates the preamble, and a second input connected to an ISM spread spectrum modulator that provides an ISM transmission signal, where the transmitter outputs the generated colored noise-like preamble followed by the ISM transmission signal according to a position of the switching device.

#### **Conclusion**

33. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

Application/Control Number: 09/825,776

Art Unit: 2637

713 CONTO 1 (anico): 07,023

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David B. Lugo whose telephone number is 571-272-3043. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F; 9:30-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jay Patel can be reached on 571-272-2988. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David Lugo 5/4/05

Wowanander KHAITRAN PRIMARY EXAMINER 5/5/05